

<b>Notice of Allowability</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/601,898	SENGODAN, KATHIRAVAN	
	Examiner MARY STEELMAN	Art Unit 2191	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1.  This communication is responsive to 04/09/2007.
2.  The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-6, 8, 11-16, 18 and 21.
3.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a)  All    b)  Some\*    c)  None    of the:
    1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.  
**THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.**

4.  A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
5.  CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
  - (a)  including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-948) attached
    - 1)  hereto or 2)  to Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
  - (b)  including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6.  DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

#### Attachment(s)

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date <u>02/05/2007</u></li> <li>4. <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application</li> <li>6. <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No./Mail Date _____.</li> <li>7. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Amendment/Comment</li> <li>8. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance</li> <li>9. <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____.</li> </ol>
--	---

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to Amendments and Remarks received 04/09/2007. Per Applicant's request, the Specification has been amended. Claims 1, 2, 6, 11, 12, and 16 have been amended. Claims 7, 9, 10, 17, and 19-20 have been cancelled. New claims 21-26 have been added. Claims 1-6, 8, 11-16, 18, and 21-26 are pending. Terminal Disclaimers over copending applications 10/602037, 10/602038, and 10/601929 have been received and accepted. In view of the amendments, the prior 112, second paragraph rejections are hereby withdrawn. IDS received 02/05/2007 has been considered.

#### *Allowable Subject Matter*

2. Claims 1-6, 8, 11-16, 18, and 21 (to be renumbered in order) are allowed.

### **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

3. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Karl Kenna, Reg. No. 45,445 on 06/07/2007.

Claims 7, 9, 10, 17, 19, 20, AND 22-26 are cancelled.

Claims are as follows:

**IN THE CLAIMS**

1. (Currently Amended) A system including a web-based interface for use with an application

program interface (API) to abstract complexity of enterprise service API programming,  
comprising:

a server computer including a processing device operating thereon-server processor and  
a plurality of enterprise service API for one of messaging, operation, administration and  
management monitoring:

a client computer including a processing device and a client operating thereon:

a web application including a user interface that executes the client and allows a user to  
enter user input markup language commands, including the name of at least one enterprise  
service API at the server computer, and operations to be performed therewith, and communicate  
said markup language components commands to a remote the server for processing thereon;

a command processor that executes on a remote the server, that receives and validates the  
user input markup language commands, and, for each markup language command converts the  
markup language command into a command object for communication to a command dispatcher;

a command dispatcher that executes on the remote-server and that receives command  
objects from the command processor and, for each command object, assigns the command object  
to one of a plurality of categories corresponding to [[a]] the plurality of application program  
interfaces enterprise service API specified in the user input markup language commands; and

a plurality of processor modules, including a processor module ~~for specific to each~~ category of ~~application program interface~~ enterprise service API, wherein each processor module ~~executes on the computer,~~ receives the command objects assigned to its category, and ~~performs appropriate operations against the corresponding application program interface~~ uses the command object to perform operations at the corresponding enterprise service API located on the server computer.

2. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 1 wherein the markup language commands are communicated as a source file, and wherein the ~~remote-server~~ includes a parser that parses said source file to retrieve said markup language components and communicate said markup language commands to said command processor.
3. (Original) The system of claim 1 wherein said user interface includes a file selection device for electing a source file to be communicated to said command processor-
4. (Original) The system of claim 1 wherein said user interface includes a Web-based form with which a user can enter markup language commands to be communicated to said command processor.
5. (Original) The system of claim 1 wherein said web application is a web browser.

6. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 1 wherein said web application communicates said markup language commands to ~~said remote~~ the server via a wide area network or the Internet.

8. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 1 wherein the markup language is ~~JMS~~ markup language JMSML,

11. (Currently Amended) A method of using a web-based interface with an application program interface

with an application program interface (API), to abstract complexity of enterprise service API programming, comprising the steps of:

[providing a web application including a user interface that executes on a client machine and allows a user to enter markup language commands and communicate said markup language commands to a remote server for processing thereon; and,

receiving said markup language commands at a command processor at a remote server that validates the markup language commands, and, for each markup language command converts the markup language command into a command object;

assigning each command object to one of a plurality of categories corresponding to a plurality of application program interfaces; and

processing the command objects using a plurality of processor modules, including a processor module for each category of application program interface, wherein each processor

module receives the command objects assigned to its category, and performs appropriate operations against the corresponding application program interface at the remote server;]

providing a server computer including a server processor and a plurality of enterprise service API for one of messaging, operation, administration and management monitoring;  
providing a client computer including a processing device and a client operating thereon;  
providing a web application including a user interface that executes the client and allows a user to enter user input markup language commands, including the name of at least one enterprise service API at the server computer, and operations to be performed therewith, and communicate said markup language commands to the server for processing thereon;  
receiving said markup language commands at a command processor at the server, that validates the user input markup language commands, and, for each markup language command converts the markup language command into a command object for communication to a command dispatcher;  
assigning each command object to one of a plurality of categories corresponding to the enterprise service API specified in the user input markup language commands; and  
communicating the command objects to a plurality of processor modules, including a processor module specific to each category of enterprise service API, wherein each processor module receives the command objects assigned to its category and uses the command object to perform operations at the corresponding enterprise service API located on the server computer.

Art Unit: 2191

12. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 11 wherein the markup language commands are communicated as a source file, and wherein the ~~remote~~-server includes a parser that parses said source file to retrieve said markup language commands and communicate said markup language commands to said command processor.

13. (Original) The method of claim 11 wherein said user interface includes a file selection device for selecting a source file to be communicated to said command processor.

14. (Original) The method of claim 11 wherein said user interface includes a Web-based form within which a user can enter markup language commands to be communicated to said command processor.

15. (Original) The method of claim 11 wherein said web application is a web browser.

16. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 11 wherein said web application communicates said markup language commands to ~~said remote~~the server via a wide area network or the Internet.

18. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 11 wherein the markup language is ~~JMS~~ markup language JMSML.

Art Unit: 2191

21. (Currently Amended) A computer ~~readable medium~~ program product including a storage medium having instructions stored thereon, which when executed cause the computer to perform the steps of:

[providing a web application including a user interface that executes on a client machine and allows a user to enter markup language commands and communicate said markup language commands to a remote server for processing thereon, and,

receiving said markup language commands at a command processor at a remote server that validates the markup language commands, and, for each markup language command converts the markup language command into a command object;

assigning each command object at one of a plurality of categories corresponding to a plurality of application program interfaces; and

processing the command objects using a plurality of processor modules, including a processor module for each category of application program interface, wherein each processor module receives the command objects assigned to its category, and performs appropriate operations against the corresponding application program interface at the remote server; ]

providing a server computer including a server processor and a plurality of enterprise service application program interface (API) for one of messaging, operation, administration, and management monitoring;

providing a client computer including a processing device and a client operating thereon;

providing a web application including a user interface that executes the client and allows a user to enter user input markup language commands including the name of at least one

Art Unit: 2191

enterprise service API at the server computer, and operations to be performed therewith, and communicate said markup language commands to the server computer for processing thereon;

receiving said markup language commands at a command processor at the server, that validates the user input markup language commands and for each markup language command converts the markup language command into a command object for communication to a command dispatcher;

assigning each command object to one of a plurality of categories corresponding to the enterprise service API specified in the user input markup language commands; and

communicating the command objects to a plurality of processor modules including a processor module specific to each category of enterprise service API, wherein each processor module receives the command objects assigned to its category, and uses the command object to perform operations at the corresponding enterprise service API located on the server computer.

**THE END**

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As noted by Applicant in Remarks (04/09/2007), page 15, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraphs, Fuchs (US Patent Publication 2003/0177477), Najmi (USPN 6,753,889), and other cited prior arts, taken alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest all limitations of independents claims 1, 11, and 21, including:

“a command processor that executes on the server, that receives and validates the user input markup language commands, and, for each markup language command converts the markup language command into a command object for communication to a command dispatcher;

a command dispatcher that executes on the server and that receives command objects from the command processor and, for each command object, assigns the command object to one of a plurality of categories corresponding to the enterprise service API specified in the user input markup language commands; and

a plurality of processor modules, including a processor module specific to each category of enterprise service API, wherein each processor module receives the command objects assigned to its category, and uses the command object to perform operations at the corresponding enterprise service API located on the server computer.”

Moreover, evidence for modifying the prior art teachings by one of ordinary skill level in the art was not uncovered so as to result in the invention. Thus, remaining dependent claims, claims 2-6, 8, 12-16, and 18, are allowed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

*Conclusion*

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Steelman, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3704. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Zhen can be reached at (571) 272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned: 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/601,898  
Art Unit: 2191

Page 12

Mary Steelman

06/08/2007

*Mary Steelman  
Primary Examiner*